1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	HONORABLE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD, JUDGE PRESIDING
4	OX LABS, INC.,
5))
6	Plaintiff,)
7))
8	Vs.) No. CV18-05934-MWF
9) }
10	BITPAY, INC., ET AL.,
11) }
12	Defendants.)
13	
14	
15	
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE
18	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
19	MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2020
20	
21	
22	MIRIAM V. BAIRD, CSR 11893, CCRA OFFICIAL U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER
23	411 WEST FOURTH STREET, SUITE 1-053 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92701
24	MVB11893@aol.com
25	

1 APPEARANCES 3 IN BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, LEIDER & AYALA-BASS LLP OX LABS, INC.: BY: PHILIP LEIDER, ATTORNEY 4 AT LAW SPEAR TOWER ONE MARKET PLAZA 36TH FLOOR SAN 5 FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 6 7 8 9 IN BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS, RUSS AUGUST & KABAT 10 BITPAY, INC., ET AL.: BY: JAMES TSUEI, ATTORNEY AT LAW 12424 WILSHIRE 11 BOULEVARD 12TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025 12 MORRIS MANNING & MARTIN LLP 13 BY: LAWRENCE KUNIN, ATTORNEY AT LAW 3343 14 PEACHTREE ROAD NE SUITE 1600 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30326 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
1
        LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2020; 1145
 3
                THE CLERK: Calling Item 3, CV18-05934, Ox Labs,
 4
 5
      Inc, vs. Bitpay, Inc., et al.
               MR. LEIDER: Philip Leider for plaintiff Ox Labs.
 6
 7
               MR. KUNIN: Your Honor, this is Lawrence Kunin on
     behalf of defendant Bitpay. Also is James, introduce
 8
 9
     yourself.
10
               MR. TSUEI: James Tsuei, Your Honor, for defendant
11
     Bitpay.
12
               THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel. This is a
13
      telephonic status conference, obviously. However, I am on
14
                 The court reporter is making a record, and
     the bench.
15
     pursuant to the First Amendment, the courtroom is open to the
16
     public. In fact, no one is here but my staff.
17
                The reason I wanted to have this conference was to
18
     get your thoughts in light of my last order. As a technical
19
     matter, there could still be a trial on, if nothing else, the
20
     precise nature of the damages such as they are on the
21
     conversion claims, since that was established as a matter of
22
      law. It would seem to me preferable that the parties not do
23
     that, but that would be your right. If I thought that it --
24
     the issues were that clear-cut, I would have just simply said
25
     as much and entered judgment.
```

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I guess, really it's I -- I should be addressing this first to Ox Labs. Have you and opposing counsel had the opportunity to think about or consult with your client as to where we go from here, if anywhere. If the answer is we can't believe how wrong you were in that last order, and you want to get to the Ninth Circuit as soon as possible, I will understand that. I will enter judgment as soon as I can. I need to know what it is that you believe is left for your client at this point. MR. LEIDER: Your Honor, I have discussed it with my client. I've also discussed it with the other side. Our belief is that the amount -- the difference in amount for that brief period of time that Your Honor has held that the bitcoins might have been sold is immaterial --THE COURT: Right. MR. LEIDER: -- and that we would like to take an appeal to the Ninth Circuit of the ruling. THE COURT: Given how new the law is here and how

THE COURT: Given how new the law is here and how much I had to wrestle with it, I'm not surprised. In fact, regardless of what the ruling is, I have no doubt many other district judges will welcome the guidance that we will get.

Is there anything from Bitpay then?

MR. KUNIN: Your Honor, there's not. I've also spoken it over with my client. I agree with Mr. Leider that the differential in price is not that significant between

1 July 10th and 14th. So, you know, however they would like to proceed --3 THE COURT: That's the next question. 4 MR. KUNIN: With regard to --5 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Kunin. Should the judgment be then for the amount that was 6 7 tendered? Should it be -- in that case, I'm not going to 8 award costs here to Ox Labs, because obviously, Bitpay has been willing to pay that amount. So it's really just purely 9 10 a matter of form that I should dismiss the case as moot in 11 that case, because we all know that Bitpay has always been 12 ready, willing, and able to pay the amount? Should I wait a 13 few days so there can be a new check? I'd like the quidance 14 of counsel so when it does go up to the Circuit, the Circuit 15 can focus on the real issues here and not some, you know, 16 procedural mistake that I might have made not knowing what 17 the parties believed to be the proper form of the judgment to 18 be. 19 MR. LEIDER: So this is Mr. Leider for Ox Labs. 20 don't believe the case is moot. First of all, the tender did 21 not include interest. That's undisputed. The statute 22 clearly calls for interest on the date of conversion. So if 23 we were going to try the case, there's still an issue of the 24 date of conversion, and whatever date you choose, the

factfinder finds that there will be interest from that date

25

forward to the entry of judgment. So the tendered amount doesn't include interest.

THE COURT: Mr. Kunin.

MR. KUNIN: The reason or one of the main reasons for the tender in the first place is to cut off interest.

And so it certainly wouldn't hold up. We wouldn't have a trial over interest that occurred from the date of the error until the date of tender. Our position is that there shouldn't be interest after that date. That is part of what we briefed. By making that tender, we could essentially cut it off. It wasn't our fault they didn't take the money.

Interest occurs on something that we had already tendered to them.

Your Honor wants to enter some kind of judgment, I'm sure we could work out what a number would be that goes in there.

Certainly, the check that we tendered is probably no good anymore. I think checks are no good after like 18 months.

We would have to reissue that.

THE COURT: All right. Excuse me, Mr. Kunin, for speaking over you. I'm going to set a date for after the holiday, the Tuesday the 18th. And on Tuesday, the 18th, the parties will submit a document to me. They will either say this is the form of judgment that we believe is appropriate, and you can agree on that. If there is some remaining thing,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

whatever it is in the case that prevents me in the view of one or the other of the parties or both from entering judgment, you will say what that is and you will say how you propose it be dealt with. Like you issue another order, we do this. Whatever it is. So I'll give you no more than five pages on both sides. You will -- the defendant will get its five pages to the plaintiff. The plaintiff will have the obligation of assembling the document and getting it filed through Pacer no later than the end of day of the 18th. Of course, the reason I'm giving you this timeline is because I would love for the two of you to agree on the form of judgment. So like I said, it's silly to force the Circuit to focus on something that is not so important that could be avoided when there's plenty of things here for the Circuit to actually grapple with. You have my best wishes in trying to agree on the form of judgment between now and the 18th. If you don't, tell me what the disagreement is and how you want me to resolve it. I will read that and I will let vou know. Any questions Mr. Leider. MR. LEIDER: No, Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Kunin? MR. KUNIN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. Good luck with Obviously, I've said this to you before, but it never this.

```
hurts to say it again. The briefs were very, very good in
 1
      this case. To the extent at times I was a little frustrated,
 3
      I -- that came through, it was really more being frustrated
 4
      with the lack of guidance under California law not
      frustration with the zeal with which you represented your
 5
 6
      clients. Thank you.
 7
                MR. LEIDER: Appreciate it.
 8
                THE CLERK: This concludes the hearing.
 9
                (Proceedings concluded at 11:55 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT
3	TRANSCRIPT OF THE STENOGRAPHICALLY RECORDED PROCEEDINGS IN
4	THE ABOVE MATTER.
5	FEES CHARGED FOR THIS TRANSCRIPT, LESS ANY CIRCUIT FEE
6	REDUCTION AND/OR DEPOSIT, ARE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE
7	REGULATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES.
8	
9	<u>/s/ Miriam V. Baird</u> <u>05/04/2020</u>
10	MIRIAM V. BAIRD DATE OFFICIAL REPORTER
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	